

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/799,005 | RASMUSSEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Michael Szperka | 1644 | |

All Participants:

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) Ignacio Perez de la Cruz.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) Patrick Nolan.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2005

Time: 3PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-13

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Ignacio Perez de la Cruz with a proposed examiner's amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant accepted the changes to the claims, and these changes are detailed in the examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance.